

Document status

Product Family Specification, Land Surface Temperature

This Specification should next be reviewed on: December 2019

Proposed revisions may be provided to: lsi@lists.ceos.org

Document History

| Version | Date | Description of change | Author |
|---------|------------|--|----------|
| 0.0.2 | 23.03.2017 | Zero Draft based on materials provided by Geoscience Australia and the USGS in particular. | Ross |
| | 16.04.2017 | Included document history; | |
| 1.0.0 | 18.04.2017 | Revised to: | Lewis |
| | | Formatting and structure | |
| | | Included guidance section | |
| 1.0.1 | 18.04.2017 | Merged 'geometric source' and 'geometric method' elements. | Lewis |
| 2.0 | 25.08.2017 | Incorporated first round of revisions following feedback from the UK and others. | Lewis |
| 2.1 | 06.09.2017 | Feedback from ESA; removed reference to bands (1.10) as these are not relevant to LST; Feedback on 1.13 included to the effect that LST algorithm may not be supplied at Threshold level. Added qualifying notes to 2.7,2.8. | Lewis |
| 3.0 | 05.12.2017 | Feedback during the teleconference. | Lewis |
| 3.1 | 22.12.2017 | Feedback from the teleconference (05/12/2017) and post teleconf (emails) included. | Siqueira |
| 3.2 | 01.08.2018 | Outcome from LSI-VC-6 meeting addressed: Surface Brightness Temperature (SBT) is not needed as a CARD4L product – there is no clear user base. The Land Surface Temperature (LST) PFS will be retained, with references to SBT removed in the next update cycle." Therefore, LST became the minimum requirement (threshold) for CARD4L ST PFS. | Siqueira |
| 3.3 | 21.01.2019 | Feedback from ESA and USGS self-assessment included. Added Annex 1 containing examples (provided by USGS and ESA) on selected requirements. | Siqueira |

| 3.3.1 | 06.02.2019 | Final draft shared with LSI-VC list and LSI-VC-7 | Siqueira |
|-------|------------|--|----------|
| | | meeting participants seeking support for document | |
| | | endorsement at the LSI-VC-7 meeting. | |
| 3.3.1 | 20.02.2019 | Comments and suggestions from LSI-VC-7 meeting | Siqueira |
| | | (minutes) and feedback from USGS incorporated. | |
| 3.3.2 | 28.02.2019 | Formatting and verbiage updates for consistency. | Metzger |
| 4.0 | 02.03.2019 | Version endorsed at LSI-VC7 meeting (14Feb 2019) | LSI-VC |
| 4.1 | 26.06.2019 | Added self-assessment columns | Bontje |
| 4.2 | 04.09.2019 | Requirement 3.2 (Corrections for Atmosphere and | Siqueira |
| | | Emissivity) rewording - agreed at LSI-VC8 meeting. | |

Description

Product family title: Land Surface Temperature (CARD4L-ST)

Applies to: Data collected with multispectral sensors operating in the thermal infra-red (TIR) wavelengths. These typically operate with ground sample distance and resolution in the order 10-100m; however, the Specification is not inherently limited to this resolution.

At present, surface temperature measurements tend to be provided as either surface brightness temperature (SBT), or as land surface temperatures (LST) requiring the SBT to be modified according to the emissivity of the target. This specification identifies the Land Surface Temperature (LST) as being the minimum or Threshold requirement for analysis ready land surface data. Nevertheless, both SBT and LST are *land* measurements, requiring atmospheric corrections.

| LST | Land Surface Temperature |
|---------------------|--|
| SBT | Surface Brightness Temperature |
| Ancillary Data | Ancillary data is data other than instrument measurements, originating in the instrument itself or from the satellite, required to perform processing of the data. They include orbit data, attitude data, time information, spacecraft engineering data, calibration data, data quality information and data from other instruments. |
| Auxiliary Data | Auxiliary data is the data required for instrument processing, which does not originate in the instrument itself or from the satellite. Some auxiliary data will be generated in the ground segment, whilst other data will be provided from external sources. |
| Metadata | Metadata is structured information that describes other information or information services. With well-defined metadata, users should be able to get basic information about data, without the need to have knowledge about its entire content. |
| MTF | Modulation Transfer Function |
| Spectral Resolution | The spectral resolution defines the narrowest spectral feature that can be resolved by a spectrometer. |

Definitions

| Spatial Resolution | The highest magnification of the sensor at the ground surface. |
|----------------------------|---|
| Spectral Sampling Distance | Spectral sampling is the interval, in wavelength units, between |
| Spectral Sampling Distance | discrete data points in the measured spectrum. |
| | Spatial sampling distance is the barycentre-to-barycentre |
| Spatial Sampling Distance | distance between adjacent spatial samples on the Earth's |
| | surface. |

Requirements

General Metadata

These are metadata records describing a distributed collection of pixels. The collection of pixels referred to must be contiguous in space and time. General Metadata should allow the user to assess the <u>overall</u> suitability of the dataset, and must meet the following requirements:

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 1.1 | Traceability | Not required. | Data must be traceable to SI reference standard. Information on traceability should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. Policy on measurement traceability: https://anab.qualtraxcloud.com/ ShowDocument.aspx?ID=6536 Guidance on measurement traceability: https://anab.qualtraxcloud.com/ ShowDocument.aspx?ID=6532 Note 1: SI Traceability requires an estimate of measurement uncertainty. | Yes | Yes | Both Surface Temperature and Surface Temperature Uncertainty are provided in the SI base unit of thermodynamic temperature (Kelvin), with resolutions of 0.00341802 and 0.01 Kelvin respectively. The Level 2 DOI landing page provides additional information. Example: <digital_object _IDENTIFIER> L4-5: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F7KD1VZ9</digital_object | See missing colon in Target Requirement text. |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | L7: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F7Q52MNK L8: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F78S4MZJ | |
| 1.2 | Metadata Machine Readability | Metadata is provided in a structure that enables a computer algorithm to be used to consistently and automatically identify and extract each component part for further use. | As threshold, but metadata is formatted in accordance with ISO 19115-2. | Yes | No | The XML metadata file is machine readable. For instance, the XML package in Python can be used to parse the XML metadata file. In addition to the XML metadata file, STAC catalogue enables any client to search/crawl Landsat data. | Out of curiosity, why is the Target Requirement ISO 19115-2 vs. ISO 19115-1? Which other standards did LSI-VC consider? Should additional options exist – STAC, for instance? |
| 1.3 | Data Collection Time | The start and stop time of data collection is identified in the metadata, expressed in date/time, to the second, with the time offset from UTC unambiguously identified. | Acquisition time for each pixel is identified (or can be reliably determined) in the metadata, expressed in date/time at UTC, to the second. | Yes | Yes | The acquisition date and the scene center time are provided. The per-pixel acquisition time is not provided but can be reliably determined from the information provided. | |

| # | ltem | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | Example: <date_acquired >2013-05- 03RED> <scene_center_ TIME>04:43:58.04 29310ZENTER_TIME> Note: Each pixel can be calculated from <scene_center_ TIME></scene_center_ </scene_center_ </date_acquired | |
| 1.4 | Geographical Area | The surface location to which the data relate is identified, typically as a series of four corner points, expressed in an accepted coordinate reference system (e.g., WGS84 coordinates). | The geographic area covered by the observations is identified specifically, such as through a set of coordinates of a closely bounding polygon. The location to which each pixel refers is identified (or can be reliably determined) expressed in projection coordinates with reference datum. | Yes | Yes | The latitude and longitude of the corner points are provided. Also, the coordinates of the corner points in the projection system (e.g., UTM) are provided, which can be used to determine the location of pixels. Example: <corner_ul_lat< th=""><th></th></corner_ul_lat<> | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|---|------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | PRODUCT>28.41 | |
| | | | | | | _ 605 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | _LAT_PRODUCT> | |
| | | | | | | <corner_ul_lo< td=""><td></td></corner_ul_lo<> | |
| | | | | | | N_PRODUCT>85.6 | |
| | | | | | | 8092 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | L_LON_PRODUCT | |
| | | | | | | > | |
| | | | | | | <corner_ur_la< td=""><td></td></corner_ur_la<> | |
| | | | | | | T_PRODUCT>28.4 | |
| | | | | | | 1882 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | R_LAT_PRODUCT> | |
| | | | | | | <corner_ur_lo< td=""><td></td></corner_ur_lo<> | |
| | | | | | | N_PRODUCT>87.9 | |
| | | | | | | 9344 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | R_LON_PRODUCT | |
| | | | | | | > <corner_ll_lat< td=""><td></td></corner_ll_lat<> | |
| | | | | | | _PRODUCT>26.42 | |
| | | | | | | _FRODUCT>20.42 589 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | _LAT_PRODUCT> | |
| | | | | | | <corner_ll_lon< td=""><td></td></corner_ll_lon<> | |
| | | | | | | _PRODUCT>85.70 | |
| | | | | | | 436 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | _LON_PRODUCT> | |
| | | | | | | <pre> <corner_lr_lat< pre=""></corner_lr_lat<></pre> | |
| | | | | | | _PRODUCT>26.42 | |
| | | | | | | _ 843 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | _LAT_PRODUCT> | |
| | | | | | | <corner_lr_lo< td=""><td></td></corner_lr_lo<> | |
| | | | | | | N_PRODUCT>87.9 | |
| | | | | | | 7578 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | R_LON_PRODUCT | |
| | | | | | | > | |
| | | | | | | | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | <datum>WGS84 </datum> <ellipsoid>WGS 84</ellipsoid> <map_projectio N>UTMOJECTION> <utm_zone>45< /UTM_ZONE></utm_zone></map_projectio | |
| 1.5 | Coordinate Reference System | The metadata lists the coordinate reference system that has been used. | As threshold. | Yes | Yes | The coordinate reference system is defined under the projection attributes section of the metadata. Example: <datum>WGS84 </datum> <ellipsoid>WGS 84</ellipsoid> | |
| 1.6 | Map Projection | Not required. | The metadata lists the map projection that has been used, if any, and any relevant parameters required in relation to use of data in that map projection. | Yes | Yes | The map projection parameters are provided. Example: <map_projectio N>UTMOJECTION></map_projectio | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | <utm_zone>45< /UTM_ZONE></utm_zone> | |
| 1.7 | Geometric Correction Methods | Not required. The user is not explicitly advised of the geometric correction source and methods. | Information on geometric correction methods should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page containing information on geodetic correction methods used, including reference database and ancillary data such as elevation model(s) and reference chip-sets. | Yes | Yes | The version, model, and number of GCPs used for processing along with the source of elevation data are provided in the metadata. Example: <ground_cont ROL_POINTS_VER SION>4D_CONTROL_POI NTS_VERSION> <ground_cont ROL_POINTS_MO DEL>344ND_CONTROL_PO INTS_MODEL> <ground_cont ROL_POINTS_VERI FY>93_CONTROL_POINT S_VERIFY></ground_cont </ground_cont </ground_cont | |
| | | | | | | A single Level-1 product DOI | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | landing page is provided in the Level-2 metadata, which can be used to determine the geometric correction methods applied. Example: <digital_object _IDENTIFIER> L4-5: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F7N015TQ L7: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F7WH2P8G L8: https://doi.org/10 .5066/F71835S6</digital_object | |
| 1.8 | Geometric Accuracy of the Data | Not required. The user is not provided with results of geometric correction processes pertaining to the dataset. | The metadata includes metrics describing the assessed geodetic accuracy of the data, expressed units of the coordinate system of the data. Accuracy is assessed by independent verification (as well as internal model-fit where applicable). Uncertainties are expressed as root mean square | Yes | Yes | The metadata provides the RMSE of the geometric correction. The RMSE_VERIFY parameter provides an independent assessment of the | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|---|------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | error (RMSE) or Circular Error 90% Probability (CEP90). Note 1: Information on geometric accuracy of the data should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | | | geometric accuracy. The DOI landing page of the Level 1 processing is provided. Example: <geometric_rm SE_MODEL>8.493 MSE_MODEL> <geometric_rm SE_MODEL_Y>6.9 11RMSE_MODEL_Y> <geometric_rm SE_MODEL_X>4.9 36RMSE_MODEL_X>4.9 36RMSE_MODEL_X> <ground_cont ROL_POINTS_VERI FY>119D_CONTROL_POI NTS_VERIFY> <geometric_rm SE_VERIFY> <geometric_rm SE_VERIFY></geometric_rm </geometric_rm </ground_cont </geometric_rm </geometric_rm </geometric_rm | |
| | | | | | | Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|-------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | Yes | Yes | instrument DOI listings. There is reference to the instrument used to collect the data in the metadata and to the CEOS MIM on the DOI landing | |
| 1.9 | Instrument | The instrument used to collect the data is identified in the metadata. | As threshold but information on instrument should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page with references to the relevant CEOS Missions, Instruments and Measurements Database record. | | | <pre>che bor landing page. Example: <spacecraft_id> LANDSAT_8 <sensor_id>OLI_ TIRS</sensor_id></spacecraft_id></pre> | |
| | | | | | | Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings. | |
| 1.10 | Spectral Bands | The central wavelength for each band for which data is included is identified in the metadata, expressed in SI units. | As threshold, with instrument spectral response details (e.g., full spectral response function) also included or directly accessible using details in the metadata. Central wavelength and bandwidth at full-width half | Yes | Yes | The central wavelength information is not provided in the metadata but is accessible using the DOI landing. | See missing comma in Target Requirement text. |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | maximum value of the relative spectral response function are provided at least. Note 1: Information on spectral bands should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | | | The Landsat Spectral Characteristics Viewer link is provided on the DOI landing pages. | |
| | | | | | | Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings. | |
| 1.11 | Sensor Calibration | Not required. | Sensor calibration parameters are identified in the metadata or can be accessed using details included in the metadata. Ideally this would support machine-to- machine access. Note 1: Information on sensory calibration should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | Yes | Yes | CPFs are provided. Example: <file_name_cpf >LC08CPF_201304 01_20130627_02. 01CPF></file_name_cpf | See suggested punctuation correction in Target Requirement text. |
| 1.12 | Radiometric Accuracy | Not required. The general metadata does not include information on the radiometric accuracy of the data. | Information on radiometric accuracy should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page providing information on metrics describing the assessed absolute radiometric accuracy of the data, expressed as absolute radiometric uncertainty relative to a known reference standard. | Yes | Yes | The absolute radiometric accuracy of each scene is not provided but general information about the radiometric accuracy can be | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Note 1: For example, this may come from comparison with routine and rigorously collected in situ measurements. | | | found using the DOI link. <i>Note: See Item 1.1</i> <i>for complete</i> <i>Landsat</i> <i>instrument DOI</i> <i>listings.</i> | |
| 1.13 | Algorithms | All algorithms and versions, and the sequence in which they were applied in the generation process, are identified in the metadata. | As threshold, but only algorithms that have been published in a peer-reviewed journal. Note 1: It is possible that high- quality corrections are applied through non-disclosed processes. CARD4L does not per-se require full and open data and methods. Note 2: Information on algorithms should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | Yes | Yes | Level 2 product DOI landing pages provide direct access to the atmospheric correction algorithms and citable papers. Example: <algorithm_so URCE_SURFACE_T EMPERATURE>st_ 1.3.0M_SOURCE_SURF ACE_TEMPERATU RE> Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings.</algorithm_so | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|-----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.14 | Ancillary Data | The metadata identifies the sources of ancillary data used in the generation process, ideally expressed as a single DOI landing page. The ancillary data can be requested from the owner. Note 1: Ancillary data includes DEMs, aerosols, etc. and any additional data sources used in the generation of the product (auxiliary information location link). | As threshold, but information on ancillary data should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page and is also available for free online download, contemporaneously with the product. | Yes | Yes | The information about the elevation model, reanalysis grid, etc. are provided in the metadata, can be found using the DOI link, and can be downloaded from the provider's webpage. Example: <data_source_ REANALYSIS>GEO S-5 FP- ITE_REANALYSIS> <data_source_ ELEVATION>GLS2 000CE_ELEVATION> Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings.</data_source_ </data_source_ | See missing comma in Threshold Requirement text. In accordance with the USGS definition and the definition description on page two of this PFS, the examples provided in the requirement are auxiliary data, not ancillary data sources. |
| 1.15 | Processing Chain Provenance | Not required. | Information on processing chain provenance should be available in the metadata as a single DOI | Yes | Yes | The processing software version | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|-------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | landing page containing description of the processing chain used to generate the product, including the versions of the software used and information on the data collection baseline, giving full transparency to the users. | | | is provided in the metadata. Level 2 product DOI landing pages provide direct access to documentation and citable papers. Example: PROCESSING_SOF TWARE_VERSION >LPGS_15.2.0OCESSING_SOFT WARE_VERSION> Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings. | |
| 1.16 | Data Access | The metadata identifies the location from where the product can be retrieved, expressed as a DOI landing page. Note 1: Manual and offline interaction action (e.g., login) may be required. | The metadata identifies an online location from where the data (including any available new records) can be consistently and reliably retrieved by a computer algorithm without any manual intervention being required. <i>Note 1: Some manual interaction</i> <i>action may be required <u>in the</u> <u>first instance</u> ('one off' basis) to</i> | Yes | No | EarthExplorer (and other data access methods) URLs are provided on the DOI landing page, and within product guide. | Should there be a time constraint on the Target requirement? Metadata records can persist for years and potentially decades. It may not be feasible to |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | establish ongoing access to the data. | | | Metadata does not currently identify the exact source location of the data (it is unknown when the metadata is generated). Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings. | provide a perpetual download URL for an individual product that remains valid after events such as major system redesigns, cloud service provider migrations, etc. |
| 1.17 | Overall Data Quality | Not applicable. | The metadata includes details of the quality of the product based on quantitative assessment of the product with respect to high quality reference data with full traceability of the uncertainties. Validation and intercomparison statistics can provide the necessary quantification. | Yes | Yes | The information on the composite image quality of the sensor, percentage of scene cloud cover, land could cover, etc. are provided which are machine readable through XML parsing. Example: <cloud_cover> 13.82OVER> <cloud_cover_ LAND>13.82<th></th></cloud_cover_ </cloud_cover> | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- | Target Self- | Self-Assessment Explanation/ | Recommended Requirement |
|---|------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | - | A3 | Assessment | Assessment | Justification | Modification |
| | | | | | | UD_COVER_LAND | |
| | | | | | | > | |
| | | | | | | <image_quality< th=""><th></th></image_quality<> | |
| | | | | | | _OLI>9 <th></th> | |
| | | | | | | QUALITY_OLI> | |
| | | | | | | <image_quality< th=""><th></th></image_quality<> | |
| | | | | | | _TIRS>9 <td></td> | |
| | | | | | | QUALITY_TIRS> | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Per-Pixel Metadata (Including, for Example, Quality Flags and Data-Masks)

Per-pixel metadata should allow users to <u>discriminate between</u> (choose) observations on the basis of their individual suitability for application and includes 'quality flags'. The following minimum metadata specifications apply to each pixel. Whether the metadata are provided in a single record relevant to all pixels, or separately for each pixel, is at the discretion of the data provider. Similarly, the mechanism or form of the per-pixel metadata (additional data bands, mask layers, etc.) is open to the provider.

| # | ltem | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 2.1 | Metadata Machine Readability | Metadata is provided in a structure that enables a computer algorithm to be used to consistently and automatically identify and extract each component part for further use. | As threshold, but metadata is formatted in accordance with ISO 19115-2. | Yes | Not assessable | Metadata is machine readable through the per-pixel QA bands associated with the data. | ISO 19115-2 standard does not apply to per-pixel metadata. The Target Requirement should be re- evaluated. |
| 2.2 | No Data | Pixels that do not correspond to an observation ('empty pixels') are flagged. | As threshold. | Yes | Yes | NoData pixels have a value of 1 in the QA_PIXEL band. | |
| 2.3 | Incomplete Testing | The metadata identifies pixels for which the per-pixel tests (below) have not all been successfully completed. <i>Note 1: e.g., due to missing</i> <i>ancillary data for some pixels.</i> | The metadata identifies which tests have, and have not, been successfully completed for each pixel. | Yes | Yes | No bit was assigned to flag unsuccessful testing of saturation or cloud detection. All pixels are tested successfully, or the processing fails. | |
| 2.4 | Saturation | Metadata indicates where one or more pixel in the input spectral bands are saturated. | Metadata indicates which pixels are saturated for each spectral band. | Yes | Yes | QA_RADSAT band provide per pixel information about saturation of each band. <i>Note: TIRS bands 10</i> <i>and 11 are not tested</i> <i>for saturation</i> | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | because they do not saturate. | |
| 2.5 | Cloud | Metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being cloud. | As threshold, but information on cloud detection should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | Yes | Yes | QA_PIXEL band bit 3 indicates whether a pixel is assessed as cloud. The product guides within the DOI landing page provide information on the cloud detection algorithm. <i>Note: See Item 1.1</i> <i>for complete Landsat</i> <i>instrument DOI</i> <i>listings.</i> | |
| 2.6 | Cloud Shadow | Metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being cloud shadow. | As threshold, but information on cloud shadow detection should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | Yes | Yes | QA_PIXEL band bit 4 indicates cloud shadow. The product guide within the DOI landing page provides information on the cloud shadow detection algorithm. <i>Note: See Item 1.1</i> <i>for complete Landsat</i> <i>instrument DOI</i> <i>listings.</i> | |
| 2.7 | Snow / Ice mask | Not required. | The metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being snow/ice or not. | Yes | Yes | QA_PIXEL band bit 5 indicates snow. Snow/Ice confidence | |

| # | ltem | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|--|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Information on snow/ice mask should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page. | | | are provided in QA_PIXEL band bit 12 and 13. Note: See Item 1.1 for complete Landsat instrument DOI listings. | |
| 2.8 | Illumination and Viewing Geometry | Provide average viewing and average illumination for the threshold requirement. | The solar incidence and sensor viewing angles are identified for each pixel, including coefficients used for terrain illumination correction. | Yes | Yes | The illumination/viewing angles and ANG.txt coefficient files are provided. | |

Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections

The following requirements must be met for all pixels in a collection. Radiometric corrections must lead to a valid measurement of surface temperature.

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|-------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 3.1 | Measurement | Pixel values are expressed as a measurement of the Surface Temperature of the land, expressed as Kelvin. | Surface temperature measurements are SI traceable (see also 1.1). | Yes | Yes | Surface Temperature is provided in the SI base unit of thermodynamic temperature (Kelvin), with multiplicative factor of 0.00341802 and additive factor of 149. The Surface Temperature is SI | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | traceable because the uncertainty is provided. The Level 2 product DOI landing page provides additional information. <i>Note: See Item 1.1</i> <i>for complete Landsat</i> <i>instrument DOI</i> <i>listings.</i> | |
| 3.2 | Corrections for Atmosphere and Emissivity | Retrieval methods for estimating surface temperature are provided. Note 1: The metadata references (may be through a single DOI landing page) a citable peer-reviewed algorithm. | As threshold. | Yes | Yes | The atmospheric parameters (transmittance, upwelled radiance, and downwelled radiance) and emissivity are used to calculate the Surface Temperature. The Level 2 product DOI landing page provides the retrieval methods. <i>Note: See Item 1.1</i> <i>for complete Landsat</i> <i>instrument DOI</i> <i>listings.</i> | |

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 3. | Measurement 3 Uncertainty | Not required. | Uncertainty, in Kelvin, of the surface temperature measurement for each pixel is provided. Note 1: Some of the intent of the initial wording (below), which refers to atmospheric windows, may have been lost: Uncertainty, in units Kelvin, of the surface temperature for each pixel is also accompanied by distance from cloud (above) and atmospheric transmission (intervals, i.e., 0.4 - 0.55, 0.55 - 0.7, etc.). | Yes | Yes | The Surface Temperature product includes an uncertainty band (ST_QA), which is a combination of pixel distance to cloud, emissivity uncertainty, instrument uncertainty, etc. | See suggested punctuation corrections in Target Requirement text. |

Geometric Corrections

Geometric corrections must place the measurement accurately on the surface of the Earth (that is, geolocate the measurement) allowing measurements taken through time to be compared.

| # | Item | Threshold (Minimum) Requirements | Target (Desired) Requirements | Threshold Self- Assessment | Target Self- Assessment | Self-Assessment Explanation/ Justification | Recommended Requirement Modification |
|-----|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 4.1 | Geometric Correction | Sub-pixel accuracy is achieved in <u>relative</u> geolocation, that is, the pixels from the same instrument and platform are consistently located, and in thus comparable, through time. Sub-pixel accuracy is taken to be less than or equal to 0.5 pixel radial root mean square error (rRMSE) or equivalent in Circular Error Probability (CEP) relative to a defined reference image. A consistent gridding/sampling frame is necessary to meet this requirement. Relevant metadata must be provided under 1.8 and 1.9. Note 1: The threshold level will not necessarily enable interoperability between data from different sources as the geometric corrections for each of the sources may differ. | Sub-pixel accuracy is achieved relative to an identified absolute independent terrestrial referencing system (such as a national map grid). A consistent gridding/sampling frame is necessary to meet this requirement. Relevant metadata must be provided under 1.8 and 1.9. Note 1: This requirement is intended to enable interoperability between imagery from different platforms that meet this level of correction, and with non- image spatial data such as GIS layers and terrain models. | Yes | Yes | 0.5-pixel radial RMSE is met through use of only Tier 1 data and some Tier 2 data. | |

Summary Self-Assessment Table

| | Threshold | Target |
|---|-----------|------------|
| 1. General Metadata | | 8 |
| 1.1 Traceability | Yes | Yes |
| 1.2 Metadata Machine Readability | Yes | No |
| 1.3 Data Collection Time | Yes | Yes |
| 1.4 Geographical Area | Yes | Yes |
| 1.5 Coordinate Reference System | Yes | Yes |
| 1.6 Map Projection | Yes | Yes |
| 1.7 Geometric Correction Methods | Yes | Yes |
| 1.8 Geometric Accuracy of the Data | Yes | Yes |
| 1.9 Instrument | Yes | Yes |
| 1.10 Spectral Bands | Yes | Yes |
| 1.11 Sensor Calibration | Yes | Yes |
| 1.12 Radiometric Accuracy | Yes | Yes |
| 1.13 Algorithms | Yes | Yes |
| 1.14 Ancillary Data | Yes | Yes |
| 1.15 Processing Chain Provenance | Yes | Yes |
| 1.16 Data Access | Yes | No |
| 1.17 Overall Data Quality | Yes | Yes |
| | | |
| 2. Per-Pixel Metadata | | |
| 2.1 Metadata Machine Readability | Yes | Not |
| | | assessable |
| 2.2 No Data | Yes | Yes |
| 2.3 Incomplete Testing | Yes | Yes |
| 2.4 Saturation | Yes | Yes |
| 2.5 Cloud | Yes | Yes |
| 2.6 Cloud Shadow | Yes | Yes |
| 2.7 Snow/Ice Mask | Yes | Yes |
| 2.8 Illumination and Viewing Geometry | Yes | Yes |
| | | |
| 3. Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections | | |
| 3.1 Measurement | Yes | Yes |
| 3.2 Corrections for Atmosphere and Emissivity | Yes | Yes |
| 3.3 Measurement Uncertainty | Yes | Yes |
| | | |
| 4. Geometric Corrections | | |
| 4.1 Geometric Correction | Yes | Yes |

Guidance

This section aims to provide background and specific information on the processing steps that can be used to achieve analysis ready data. This Guidance material does not replace or over-ride the specifications.

Introduction to CARD4L

What is CEOS Analysis Ready Data for Land (CARD4L) products?

CARD4L products have been processed to a minimum set of requirements and organized into a form that allows immediate analysis with a minimum of additional user effort. These products would be resampled onto a common geometric grid (for a given product) and would provide baseline data for further interoperability both through time and with other datasets.

CARD4L products are intended to be flexible and accessible products suitable for a wide range of users for a wide variety of applications, including particularly time series analysis and multi-sensor application development. They are also intended to support rapid ingestion and exploitation via high-performance computing, cloud computing and other future data architectures. They may not be suitable for all purposes and are not intended as a 'replacement' for other types of satellite products.

When can a product be called CARD4L?

The CARD4L branding is applied to a particular product once:

- that product has been assessed as meeting CARD4L requirements by the agency responsible for production and distribution of the product.
- that assessment has been peer reviewed by the CEOS Land Surface Imaging Virtual Constellation in consultation with the CEOS Working Group on Calibration and Validation.

Agencies or other entities considering undertaking an assessment process should contact the coleads of the Land Surface Imaging Virtual Constellation (<u>hyperlink</u>).

A product can continue to use CARD4L branding as long as its generation and distribution remain consistent with the peer-reviewed assessment.

What is the difference between Threshold and Target?

Products that meet all <u>threshold</u> requirements should be immediately useful for scientific analysis or decision-making.

Products that meet <u>target</u> requirements will reduce the overall product uncertainties and enhance broad-scale applications. For example, the products may enhance interoperability or provide increased accuracy through additional corrections that are not reasonable at the *threshold* level. Target requirements anticipate continuous improvement of methods and evolution of community expectations, which are both normal and inevitable in a developing field. Over time, *target* specifications may (and subject to due process) become accepted as *threshold* requirements.

Procedural Examples

Processes to produce Threshold Land Surface Temperature CARD4L-ST:

The following correction processes would typically be applied to produce CARD4L-ST Threshold:

• No processes are provided at this point in time.

Specific Examples

Processes to produce Threshold Surface Temperature CARD4L-ST:

• No processes are provided at this point in time

Reference papers

The following papers provide scientific and technical guidance:

Cook, M., Schott, J.R, Mandel, J., Raqueno, M. (2014). Development of an Operational Calibration Methodology for the Landsat Thermal Data Archive and Initial Testing of the Atmospheric Compensation Component of a Land Surface Temperature (LST) Product from the Archive. *Remote Sensing* 6 (11244-11266). doi:10.3390/rs61111244 ISSN 2072-4292. www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing

Li et al., (2013) Satellite-derived land surface temperature: Current status and perspectives *Remote Sensing of Environment* 131 (2013) 14–37.

Annex 1 – CARD4L Requirement Examples (Land Surface Temperature)

General Metadata

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|--------------|--|-----------|
| 1.1 | Traceability | Example of measurement traceability in metadata: <band <br="" add_offset="0.000000" category="image">data_type="INT16" fill_value="-9999" name="ST" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="st" scale_factor="0.100000" <short_name>LC08ST</short_name> <long_name>Surface Temperature</long_name> <file_name>ST</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"></pixel_size> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range <br="" max="3730.000000">min="1500.000000"/> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </valid_range></band> Example of measurement uncertainty in metadata: <band <br="" category="qa" data_type="INT16" fill_value="- 9999" name="STQA" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000">product="st_qa" scale_factor="0.010000" source="toa_refl"> <short_name>LC08STQA</short_name> <long_name>Surface temperature quality band</long_name></band> | NA |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | <pre><file_name>STQA</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"></pixel_size> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range max="32767.000000" min="0.000000"></valid_range> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </pre> | |
| 1.2 | Metadata Machine Readability | NA | ΝΑ |
| 1.3 | Data Collection Time | Example of scene center time (UTC): <scene_center_time>17:23:57.201686Z_time></scene_center_time> | The granule start and end times are contained in the XML metadata: |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | | prescribed recipe |
| 1.4 | Geographical Area | Example of the bounding coordinates in decimal degrees (WGS84): <bounding_coordinates> <west>-99.9109607425</west> <east>-98.0134952569</east> <north>43.3609828699</north> <south>41.9778528562</south> </bounding_coordinates> Example of the corner points in the map projection system (Albers): <corner_point <br="" location="UL" x="-315585.000000">y="2264805.000000"/> <corner_point <br="" location="LR" x="-165585.000000">y="2114805.000000"/></corner_point></corner_point> | NA |
| 1.5 | Coordinate Reference System | <pre>Example of the projected coordinate system info:</pre> | NA |
| 1.6 | Map Projection | Example: <projection_information <br="" datum="WGS84">projection="AEA" units="meters"> <corner_point <br="" location="UL" x="-315585.000000">y="2264805.000000"/> <corner_point <br="" location="LR" x="-165585.000000">y="2114805.000000"/> <grid_origin>UL</grid_origin> <albers_proj_params> <standard_parallel1>29.500000</standard_parallel1></albers_proj_params></corner_point></corner_point></projection_information> | NA |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | <standard_parallel2>45.500000</standard_parallel2> <central_meridian>-96.000000</central_meridian> <origin_latitude>23.000000</origin_latitude> <false_easting>0.000000</false_easting> <false_northing>0.000000</false_northing> | |
| 1.7 | Geometric Correction Source | Example of elevation source: <elevation_source>GLS2000</elevation_source> | The XML wrapper provides the source of the geometric calibration: <sentinel-safe:resource name="S3A_SL_1_GEC_AX_20160216T000000_20991231 T235959_20180202T120000MPC_ O_AL_007.SEN3" role="SLSTR Geometric Calibration Data File"> <sentinel-safe:processing name="AdfProcessing"> <sentinel-safe:processing name="AdfProcessing"> <sentinel-safe:processing name="AdfProcessing"> <sentinel-safe:facility <br="" name="ESA Mission Performance Coordinating Centre (MPC)">organisation="ESA Mission Performance Coordinating Centre" site="Sophia Antipolis" country="France"> <sentinel-safe:hardware name="OPE"></sentinel-safe:hardware> <sentinel-safe:hardware name="OPE"></sentinel-safe:hardware> <sentinel-safe:software <br="" name="ADC">version="1.0"/> </sentinel-safe:software></sentinel-safe:facility> </sentinel-safe:processing> </sentinel-safe:processing></sentinel-safe:processing></sentinel-safe:resource |
| 1.8 | Geodetic Accuracy | Example: <geometric_rmse_model>9.021del> <geometric_rmse_model_x>6.864odel_x></geometric_rmse_model_x></geometric_rmse_model> | NA |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | <geometric_rmse_model_y>5.854<th></th></geometric_rmse_model_y> | |
| | | model_y> | |
| 1.9 | Instrument | Example: <satellite>LANDSAT_8</satellite> <instrument>OLI/TIRS_Combined</instrument> | The XML wrapper provides the instrument details: <metadataobject <br="" id="platform">classification="DESCRIPTION" category="DMD"> <metadatawrap <br="" mimetype="text/xml">vocabularyName="Sentinel-SAFE" textInfo="Platform Description"> <xmldata> <sentinel-safe:platform> <sentinel-safe:platform> <sentinel-safe:nssdcldentifier> 2016- 011A</sentinel-safe:nssdcldentifier> <sentinel-safe:familyname>Sentinel- 3</sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:instrument> <sentinel-safe:familyname abbreviation="SLSTR">Sea and Land Surface Temperature Radiometer</sentinel-safe:familyname abbreviation="SLSTR">Sea and Land Surface Temperature Radiometer<sentinel-safe:familyname <sentinel-safe:familyname <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname> <sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:familyname </sentinel-safe:familyname </sentinel-safe:instrument></sentinel-safe:familyname></sentinel-safe:platform></sentinel-safe:platform></xmldata></metadatawrap></metadataobject> |
| 1.10 | Sensor Calibration | Example: <cpf_name>LC08CPF_20180101_20180331_01.02name></cpf_name> | NA |
| 1.11 | Radiometric Accuracy | NA | NA |
| 1.11 | Radiometric Accuracy | INA | INA |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.12 | Algorithms | Example for Surface Temperature algorithm version: <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> | NA |
| 1.13 | Ancillary Data | NA | All Auxiliary Datafiles (ADFs) are listed in the XML wrapper: |
| 1.14 | Processing Chain Provenance | NA | Processing chain provenance information is stored in the XML wrapper under the following tag: <metadataobject <br="" id="processing">classification="PROVENANCE" category="PDI"></metadataobject> |
| 1.15 | Data Access | NA | NA |
| 1.16 | Overall Data Quality | NA | Overall data quality information is stored in the XML wrapper under the following tag: <metadataobject ID="measurementQualityInformation" classification="DESCRIPTION" category="DMD"></metadataobject |

Per-Pixel Metadata

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2.1 | Metadata Machine Readability | NA | ΝΑ |
| 2.2 | No Data | Example of the fill_value specified for each band in metadata: <band <br="" add_offset="0.000000" category="image">data_type="INT16" fill_value="-9999" name="ST" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="st" scale_factor="0.100000" <short_name>LC08ST</short_name> <long_name>Surface Temperature</long_name> <file_name>ST</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"></pixel_size> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range <br="" max="3730.000000">min="1500.000000"/> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </valid_range></band> | The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag: ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud summary_pointing" ; |
| 2.3 | Incomplete Testing | NA | The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag: ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|------------|---|---|
| | | | duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud |
| | | | summary_pointing"; |
| 2.4 | Saturation | Example of RADSATQA band showing the saturation information for the thermal bands used for Surface Temperature calculation: <band <br="" category="qa" data_type="UINT16">fill_value="1" name="RADSATQA" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="toa_refl" source="level1"> <short_name>LC08RADSAT</short_name> <long_name>saturation mask</long_name> <file_name>RADSATQA</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"></pixel_size> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>bitmap</data_units> <bitmap_description> <bit num="0">Data Fill Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = invalid data)</bit> <bit num="1">Band 1 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="2">Band 2 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="3">Band 3 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="3">Band 4 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 5 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 4 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 5 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 5 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 5 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="8">N/A</bit></bitmap_description></band> | The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag: ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud summary_pointing" ; |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|-------|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | valid data, 1 = saturated data) | |
| | | | |
| | | valid data, 1 = saturated data) | |
| | | | |
| | | valid data, 1 = saturated data) | |
| | | | |
| | | <app_version>LaSRC_1.3.0</app_version> | |
| | | <production_date>2018-11-</production_date> | |
| | | 30T04:47:38Z | |
| | | | |
| | | Example of PIXELQA showing the bit value for cloud | The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains all the cloud masking |
| | | pixels (as well as cloud and cirrus confidence): | flags |
| | | <band <="" category="qa" data_type="UINT16" th=""><th>Three fields are relevant: i) cloud_in; ii) confidence_in;</th></band> | Three fields are relevant: i) cloud_in; ii) confidence_in; |
| | | fill_value="1" name="PIXELQA" nlines="5000" | and iii) bayes_in |
| | | nsamps="5000" product="level2_qa" source="level1"> | |
| | | <short_name>LC08PQA</short_name> | The "cloud_in" field contains all the individual threshold- |
| | | <long_name>level-2 pixel quality band</long_name> | based mask: |
| | | <file_name>PIXELQA</file_name> | flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, |
| | | <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"></pixel_size> | 128U S, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, |
| | | <resample_method>none</resample_method> | 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; |
| 2.5 | Cloud | <data_units>quality/feature</data_units> | cloud_in:flag_meanings = "visible 1.37_threshold |
| | | classification | 1.6_small_histo gram 1.6_large_histogram |
| | | | 2.25_small_histogram 2.25_large_histogram |
| | | <bit num="0">fill</bit> | 11_spatial_co herence gross_cloud thin_cirrus |
| | | <bit num="1">clear</bit> | medium_high fog_low_stratus 11_12_view_differenc |
| | | <bit num="2">water</bit> | e 3.7_11_view_difference thermal_histogram |
| | | <bit num="3">cloud shadow</bit> | spare spare" |
| | | <bit num="4">snow</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="5">cloud</bit> | The "confidence_in" field contains the |
| | | | "summary_cloud_mask" from the most appropriate |
| | | <bit num="7">cloud confidence</bit> | cloud_in flags; the value of the bit is 16384US |

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|------------------|---|---|
| | | <bit num="8">cirrus confidence</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="9">cirrus confidence</bit> | The "bayes_in" field contains the "single_moderate" |
| | | <bit num="10">terrain occlusion</bit> | probabilistic cloud flag; the value of the bit is 2UB |
| | | <bit num="11">unused</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="12">unused</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="13">unused</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="14">unused</bit> | |
| | | <bit num="15">unused</bit> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | <app_version>generate_pixel_qa_1.6.0</app_version> | |
| | | <production_date>2018-11-</production_date> | |
| | | 30T04:47:38Z | |
| | | | |
| 2.6 | Cloud Shadow | Please see the cloud shadow part in the example | NA |
| | | provided in requirement 2.5 | |
| 2.7 | Illumination and | NA | NA |
| 2.7 | Viewing Geometry | | |
| 2.8 | Snow/Ice Mask | Please see the snow part in the example provided in | NA |
| | | requirement 2.5 | |

Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 3.1 | Measurement | NA | NA |
| 3.2 | Corrections for | | |
| | Atmosphere (and | NA | NA |
| | Emissivity in the Case of | | NA |
| | LST) | | |
| 3.3 | Measurement | ΝΑ | ΝΑ |
| | Uncertainty | | |

Geometric Corrections

| # | Item | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 4.1 | Geometric Correction | NA | NA |